arXiv:1601.02551v2 [astro-ph.HE] 12 Jan 2016

ACTA ASTRONOMICA
Vol. **58** (2008) pp. 0–0

P13 an ULX that is a potential progenitor of merging BH-NS system

Beldycki, B[3], Belczynski, K[1,2]

[1] Astronomical Observatory, Warsaw University, al. Ujazdowskie 4, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland
[2] Warsaw Virgo Group
[3] Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warsaw, Poland

Received Month Day, Year

ABSTRACT

We have studied the future evolution of a recently discovered ULX source P13 in NGC7793. This source was shown to contain a 5-15$M_\odot$ black hole and a massive 18-23$M_\odot$ B9Ia companion on a 64 day orbit. For low black hole mass (5-10$M_\odot$) and high companion mass $\gtrsim 20M_\odot$ the binary is predicted to initiate a common envelope evolution in near future and significantly decrease the orbital separation (6 hr orbit). This leads to a high probability ($\sim 70\%$) of the system surviving a supernova explosion that will form a neutron star out of the companion. About one third of the surviving BH-NS systems will merge within Hubble time and be a source of high frequency gravitational radiation. We estimate that the chances of detection of BH-NS systems with advanced LIGO/Virgo that form via P13-evolutionary channel are at the level of 0.1 yr^{-1} with wide range of allowed probability $0 \div 0.6\,yr^{-1}$. This is the fourth empirical estimate of BH-NS merger rate.

Key words: *binaries: close – stars: evolution, neutron stars – gravitation*

1. INTRODUCTION

Universe hosts black holes (BHs) and neutron stars (NSs) in various binary configurations. Many of these binaries show significant X-ray activity that suggests mass transfer and accretion onto a compact object. Recently observed P13 system is an extra-galactic X-ray source. This X-ray source is unique because it is the first ULX with the reliable mass estimate of compact object: apparently a stellar-origin black hole (Motch et al. 2014). Pietrzynski et al. (2010) have determined distance to NGC7793 (P13 host galaxy) using Cepheids from variable stars discovered in Wide-Field Imaging Survey. P13 is a high mass X-ray binary (HMXB) hosting a stellar-origin black hole (5-15$M_\odot$) in 64 day orbit around a massive (18-23$M_\odot$) B9Ia star. The companion is in the mass range for neutron star formation (Belczynski et al. 2008). We select this system to investigate a population of potential Gravitational Wave (GW) sources: Black Holes + Neutron Star (BH-NS) mergers.

Over the past few years three studies have been published in which authors examined value of emipiral merger rate for BH-NS systems. In 2011, Belczynski et al. (2011) obtained the BH-NS merger rate of ~ 0.001 yr^{-1} for Cyg X-1 system. In 2013, Belczynski et al. (2013) obtained the BH-NS empirical merger rate of ~ 0.1 yr^{-1} for Cyg X-3 system. And in 2015, Grudzinska et al. (2015) calculated empirical BH-NS merger rate of ~ 0.2 yr^{-1} for MWC 656 system. These systems host BHs with masses in range $5-15\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and companion stars with mass range from $7\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ to $20\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. P13 is therefore rather similar.

2. ESTIMATES

2.1. The evolution of P13

To investigate the future evolution of P13 system we employ the binary population synthesis code, **StarTrack** (Belczynski et al. 2008a). We use average values of estimated orbital parameters: $P_{\rm orb} = 64d$ and eccentricity $e = 0.34$. We investigate three cases of binary configurations (dependent on component masses) which undergo different evolutionary paths. In case 1, binary system experiences only common envelope phase (CE) while donor is a Hertzsprung gap star. In case 2, binary system experiences only Roche Lobe Overflow (RLOF) while donor is a Hertzsprung gap star. In case 3, binary system experiences both CE and RLOF phase; CE is encountered while donor is a Hertzsprung gap star and RLOF occurs while donor is a naked evolved Helium star.

Case 1. We start evolutionary calculation, while the more massive star in binary has already formed a BH with mass $M_{\rm BH} = 10\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. This takes about 5 Myr. Therefore, we assume that the massive companion in P13 is a Main Sequence (MS) star that has already undergone significant nuclear burning and its mass is $M_{\rm comp} = 25\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Following observations we assume orbit with $P_{\rm orb} = 64d$ ($a = 220\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$) and eccentricity $e = 0.34$.

At $t = 7.4$ Myr the companion evolves off MS. At this point companion star lost $\sim 0.7\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and orbit became wider ($P_{\rm orb} = 66d$, $a = 224\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$), but tidal forces were not able to reduce eccentricity ($e = 0.34$).

During Hertzsprung Gap (HG) phase the system undergoes CE phase. Here we treat CE phase with standard energy balance (Webbink 1984). We assume the efficient conversion of orbital energy into the envelope ejection ($\alpha_{\rm CE} = 1$). The binding energy of star envelope is obtained with physical stellar models (binding energy parameter $\lambda = 0.05$; Dominik et al. 2012). During the CE phase part of envelope is accreted onto BH (it increases its mass from $10\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ to $10.15\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$) and the rest is ejected from the binary system. Companion star loses a significant fraction of its mass $M_{\rm comp} = 7.2\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ after CE and becomes a massive helium core or Wolf-Rayet (WR) star. Orbital period decays to just $P_{\rm orb} = 0.24d$ ($a = 4.2\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$) and becomes circular.

After CE, from $t = 7.4$ Myr to $t = 8.38$ Myr the WR star wind is accreted onto

BH and the system enters HMXB phase. The WR star mass decreases to $6.15\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and in response $P_{\rm orb} = 0.32d$ ($a = 4.5\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$).

Evolution ends at $t = 8.38$ Myr with supernova explosion (SN) and NS formation: $M_{\rm NS} = 1.56\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. We adopt natal kicks with uniform distribution of orientations and with a 1D Maxwellian velocity distribution with σ = 265 km s^{-1}. This distribution was measured for Galactic single pulsars (Hobbs et al. 2005). Due to the fact that binary is on close orbit during the SN ($P_{\rm orb} = 0.32d$, $a = 4.5\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$) the system has significant chance ($\sim 38\%$) to form close binary system which will merge within Hubble time. During evolution the BH has accreted $\Delta M = 0.15\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. If the birth BH spin was $a = 0.0,\ 0.5,\ 0.9$ then it was increased to $a = 0.055,\ 0.531$ and 0.909, respectively.

Case 2. We start evolutionary calculation, while the more massive star in binary has already formed a BH with mass $M_{\rm BH} = 15\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. This takes about 4.49 Myr. Therefore, we assume that the massive companion in P13 is a MS star that has already undergone significant nuclear burning and its mass is $M_{\rm comp} = 22.5\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Following observations we assume orbit with $P_{\rm orb} = 64d$ ($a = 225\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$) and eccentricity $e = 0.34$.

At $t = 8.28$ Myr companion star evolves off MS. At this point companion star lost $\sim 0.5\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and orbit became wider ($P_{\rm orb} = 65d$, $a = 228\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$), but tidal forces were not able to reduce eccentricity $e = 0.34$.

During HG phase companion star expands and fills its Roche Lobe ($R_2 = R_{2,\rm lobe} = 63\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$) and the RLOF proceeds. This time due to less extreme mass ratio (as contrasted with case 1) the mass transfer is stable (no CE evolution). RLOF starts at $t = 8.29$ Myr and lasts about 0.005 Myr. We assume non-conservative evolution throughout the RLOF with accretion onto BH Eddington limited. We assume that the lost material takes away angular momentum specific to the accretor. During the RLOF phase part of mass is acreted onto BH (it increases its mass from $15\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ to $17.75\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$). Companion star mass decreases from $22\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ to $6.44\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and it becomes a massive helium core or WR star. Orbit period expands to $P_{\rm orb} = 213d$ ($a = 434\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$) and becomes circular.

The WR star mass decreases to $5.6\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ ($P_{\rm orb} = 328d$, $a = 571\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$) due to intensive wind mass loss. Evolution ends at $t = 9.32$ Myr with SN and NS formation: $M_{\rm NS} = 1.46\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Due to the fact that binary is on wide orbit during the SN ($P_{\rm orb} = 328d$, $a = 571\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$) the system has only a small chance ($\sim 0.01\%$) to form close binary system which will merge within Hubble time. During evolution the BH has accreted a total of $\Delta M = 2.75\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. If the birth BH spin was $a = 0.0,\ 0.5,\ 0.9$ then it was increased to $a = 0.487,\ 0.771$ and 0.97, respectively.

Case 3. We start evolutionary calculation, while the more massive star in binary has already formed a BH with mass $M_{\rm BH} = 10\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. This takes about 5 Myr. Therefore, we assume that the massive companion in P13 is a MS star that has already undergone significant nuclear burning and its mass is $M_{\rm comp} = 22.5\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. We assume orbit with $P_{\rm orb} = 64d$ ($a = 214\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$) and $e = 0.34$.

At $t = 8.28$ Myr the companion evolves off MS. At this point companion star lost $\sim 0.5\mathrm{M}_\odot$ and orbit became wider ($P_{\rm orb} = 66d$, $a = 217\mathrm{R}_\odot$) with $e = 0.34$.

During HG phase the system undergoes CE phase. During the CE phase part of envelope is accreted onto BH (it increases its mass from $10\mathrm{M}_\odot$ to $10.17\mathrm{M}_\odot$) and the rest is ejected from the binary system. Companion star loses a significant fraction of its mass $M_{\rm comp} = 6.31\mathrm{M}_\odot$ after CE and becomes a massive WR star. Orbital period reduces to just $P_{\rm orb} = 0.24d$ ($a = 4.2\mathrm{R}_\odot$) and the orbit becomes circular.

Next, the WR star mass decreases to $5.37\mathrm{M}_\odot$ due to wind mass loss and in response orbit changes to $P_{\rm orb} = 0.27d$ ($a = 4.4\mathrm{R}_\odot$). At $t = 9.32$ Myr WR star expands slightly and fills its Roche Lobe ($R_2 = R_{2,\rm lobe} = 1.45\mathrm{R}_\odot$). The stable RLOF lasts about 0.001 Myr. During the RLOF phase part of mass is acreted onto BH (it increases its mass from $10.17\mathrm{M}_\odot$ to $10.47\mathrm{M}_\odot$). WR star mass decreases from $5.37\mathrm{M}_\odot$ to $3.93\mathrm{M}_\odot$. Orbit period expands to $P_{\rm orb} = 0.61d$ ($a = 6.95\mathrm{R}_\odot$).

Evolution ends at $t = 9.33$ Myr (just after RLOF) with SN and NS formation: $M_{\rm NS} = 1.45\mathrm{M}_\odot$. Due to the fact that binary is on close orbit during the SN ($P_{\rm orb} = 0.61d$, $a = 6.95\mathrm{R}_\odot$) the system has significant chance ($\sim 22\%$) to form close binary system which will merge within Hubble time. During evolution the BH has accreted $\Delta M = 0.47\mathrm{M}_\odot$. If the birth BH spin was $a = 0.0,\ 0.5,\ 0.9$ then it was increased to $a = 0.15,\ 0.59$ and 0.92, respectively.

2.2. Rate Estimates

P13 has been observed because of its unusually strong X-ray emission. Such systems are X-ray active as long as evolution of the secondary component has not come to the end and it can feed mass to a compact object. Entire evolution of the secondary object lasts for about 9 Myr (e.g., case 3). We employ this as the upper limit, i.e. conservative estimate for the BH-NS detection rate. More reliable estimate for X-ray phase is only 4 Myr (since it takes about 5 Myr to form BH). Such reduced estimate would have resulted in higher (by factor of ~ 2) detection rate.

Distance within which LIGO/VIRGO can detect GW from a given source (e.g. BH-NS merger) is given by

$$d_{\rm dco} = d_0 \left(\frac{M_{\rm c,dco}}{M_{\rm c,nsns}} \right)^{5/6}, \tag{1}$$

where $M_{c,dco} = (M_{\rm BH} M_{\rm NS})^{3/5} (M_{\rm BH} + M_{\rm NS})^{-1/5}$ is a chirp mass of the BH-NS system, $M_{\rm c,nsns} = M_1^{3/5} M_2^{3/5} (M_1 + M_2)^{-1/5} = 1.2\mathrm{M}_\odot$ is a chirp mass for double neutron star system with $M_1 = M_2 = 1.4\mathrm{M}_\odot$, d_0 is a horizon distance for NS-NS merger and for advanced LIGO/VIRGO sensitivity it is expected to be $d_0 = 450$ Mpc. For example, in case 3, we have $M_{\rm c,dco} = 3.2\mathrm{M}_\odot$ that gives $d_{\rm dco} = 1.0$ Gpc.

We observe only one P13 system. It is located at distance 3.4 Mpc. If we assume that similar systems to P13 are uniformly distributed in space and form

with equal probability in time we can estimate how many of them are merging within range of Advanced LIGO/VIRGO detectors. The LIGO/VIRGO detection rate can be estimated from:

$$R_{\rm LIGO} = \frac{f_{\rm close}}{t_{\rm life}} \left(\frac{(d_{\rm c,dco}/f_{\rm pos})}{d_{\rm P13}} \right)^3, \quad (2)$$

where $f_{\rm close}$ is a probability that system survives SN explosion and form close binary system which merge within Hubble Time, $t_{\rm life}$ is an evolution time for P13, $d_{\rm c,dco}$ is a distance within which LIGO/VIRGO can detect GW from a given source, $f_{\rm pos} = 2.26$ is a correction factor that takes into account the non-uniform pattern of detection and random sky location and orientation of sources (Finn, 1996), and $d_{\rm P13}$ is a distance to P13 system. For case 3, we obtain th value of empirical detection rate at level $R_{\rm LIGO} = 0.123$ yr^{-1}. Other cases (black hole mass $M_{\rm BH} = 5, 10, 15 M_{\rm M_\odot}$, companion star mass $M_{\rm comp} = 20, 22.5, 25 {\rm M}_\odot$) are shown in Table 1.

3. DISCUSSION

We obtain detection rate at level $10^{-4} \div 10^{-5}$ yr^{-1} in case 2 (case without CE phase). In case 1 and 3 (progenitor systems undergo CE phase) detection rates are much higher $0.1 \div 0.6$ yr^{-1}. The highest value of detection rate was obtained for black hole mass $5{\rm M}_\odot$ and companion star mass $22.5{\rm M}_\odot$. In previous papers authors obtained value of emipirical merger rate for BH-NS progenitors at level $R_{\rm LIGO} = 2.8 \times 10^{-2} \div 0.4$ yr^{-1} for Cyg X-1 system (Belczynski et al. 2011), $R_{\rm LIGO} = 0.09 \div 0.15$ yr^{-1} for Cyg-X3 system (Belczynski et al. 2013), and $R_{\rm LIGO} = 0.0 \div 0.187$ yr^{-1} for MWC 656 system (Grudzinska et al. 2015). Our results are consistent with the previous estimates.

For our cases 1 and 3, where we find small, but significant, detection rates the increase of BH spin is negligible due to low mass accretion in CE. Therefore, if BH-NS are detected, and if they were formed in P13-channel the BH spins are birth spins. In case 2 we expect significant accretion onto BH during stable RLOF, but the detection rates are negligibly small. This is similar to the evolution of BH-BH binaries, for which BH spins are expected to be very close to birth spins as well (Belczynski et al. 2015).

The nature of compact object in P13 is not established. It was *assumed* by Motch et al. (2014) that the compact object is more massive than $5{\rm M}_\odot$ and therefore it must be a BH. However, it cannot be excluded that the mass is lower. If the compact object in P13 is a NS and not a BH then evolution follows quite a different path. If we replace BH with NS in P13 system, then it will be imposible to get a close binary system with two NSs which will merge within Hubble Time. Close NS-NS formation is not expected as the supernova mass loss will be greater than half of the P13 total binary mass before SNa. This would cause the binary disrup-

tion even without any natal kick. Such evolution *always* results in a null detection rate.

Evolutionary channels that generate high detection rates (> 0.1 yr^{-1}) involve CE phase. However, it is not at all clear whether CE can be initiated (Pavlovskii and Ivanova 2014/2015) or survived (Belczynski et al. 2007) if a donor star is a Hertzsprung gap star: as in *all* our evolutionary scenarios that result in high detection rates (cases 1 and 3). If this is taken into account then our major BH-NS formation sequences from P13-like progenitors will not produce any BH-NS mergers.

To summarize, we have considered consequences of the future evolution of ULX P13. We have shown that it is potentially possible that such binary may form BH-NS merger and that associated detection rate with advanced LIGO/Virgo is small, but significant. However, we cannot exclude the possibility, that this type of binary will not form a double compact object merger. Therefore, our predicted detection rate of BH-NS mergers varies from no detections to 0.6 yr^{-1}. This is the fourth empirical estimate of BH-NS merger detection rate.

REFERENCES

Belczynski, K., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **662**, 504-511.
Belczynski, K., et al. 2008, *ApJS*, **174**, 223-260.
Belczynski, K., Bulik, T., Bailyn, C. 2011, *ApJ*, **742**, .
Belczynski, K., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **764**, .
Dominik, M., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **759**, .
Finn, L. S. 1996, *Phys. Rev. D*, **53**, 2878-2894.
Grudzinska, M., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **452**, 2773-2787.
Hobbs, G., Lorimer, D., Lyne, A., Kramer, M. 2005, *MNRAS*, **360**, 974-992.
Moth, C., et al. 2014, *Nature*, **514**, 198-201.
Pavlovskii, K., Ivanova, N. 2015, *AJ.*, **449**, 4415-4427.
Pietrzynski, G., et al. 2010, *AJ.*, **140**, 1475-1485.
Webbink 1984, *ApJ*, **277**, 355-360.

T a b l e 1

The Fate of P13

$M_{\rm BH}+M_{\rm comp}$	Outcome[a]	$f_{\rm close}$ [b]	$M_{\rm c,dco}$ [c]	$t_{\rm life}$ [d]	$d_{\rm c,dco}$ [e]	$R_{\rm LIGO}$ [f]
5 + 20.0 $M_\odot$	(5.4 + 1.28)	0.33	2.1	2.0	327	0.14
5 + 22.5 $M_\odot$	(5.44 + 1.41)	0.39	2.3	0.7	343	0.57
5 + 25.0 $M_\odot$	(5.45 + 1.55)	0.40	2.4	< 0.7	359	< 0.57
10 + 20.0 $M_\odot$	(12.3 + 1.34)	2.6×10^{-4}	3.1	5.6	449	1.07×10^{-4}
10 + 22.5 $M_\odot$	(10.45 + 1.56)	0.22	3.2	4.3	456	0.12
10 + 25.0 $M_\odot$	(10.15 + 1.45)	0.38	3.0	3.3	436	0.23
15 + 20.0 $M_\odot$	(17.35 + 1.39)	10^{-4}	3.7	6.0	515	7.6×10^{-5}
15 + 22.5 $M_\odot$	(17.67 + 1.46)	1.3×10^{-4}	3.8	4.8	531	1.0×10^{-4}
15 + 25.0 $M_\odot$	(17.82 + 1.57)	2.08×10^{-4}	4.0	3.8	552	2.2×10^{-4}

[a] Close BH-NS binary system with the mass of each object in solar mass units. [b] Propability that system survives SN explosion and form close binary system which marge within Hubble Time. [c] Chirp mass of our system in solar mass units. [d] Time evolution of P13 system in Myr. [e] Maximum detection range for advanced LIGO/VIRGO detectors in Mpc. [f] Estimated merger rate per year.



Fig. 1. We start evolutionary calculations at $t = 5.03$ Myr, while the more massive star has already formed a BH with mass $M_{\rm BH} = 10{\rm M}_{\odot}$ and with assumption that its companion star has already undergone significant nuclear burning during its Main Sequence and its mass is $M_{\rm comp} = 25{\rm M}_{\odot}$. The following evolution involves common envelope phase and supernova explosion. In the end a close BH-NS binary is formed. The details of this evolutionary sequence are given in Sec.2 (case 1).



Fig. 2. Typical evolution of P13 along evolutionary channel described in text as case 2 (see Sec.2 for details).



Fig. 3. Typical evolution of P13 along evolutionary channel described in text as case 3 (see Sec.2 for details).